

## Evaluation grid for the admission to the PhD course in “Civilizations of Asia and Africa” (PON), 37th cycle

The examination committee can assign up to a maximum of 100 points, divided as follows:

- evaluation of qualifications: max 40 points;
- evaluation of the oral exam: max 60 points.

### Criteria for the evaluation of the qualifications (max 40 points)

#### 1. Learning experiences: max 5 points

Schools of advanced studies, specialization courses, masters, summer schools, scholarships, etc.

#### 2. Publications and research experiences: max 5 points

Only the publications that have already been published or accepted for publication will be considered. Scientific publications will be evaluated as follows:

Description	Punti
Article published in scientific journals provided with ISSN	max 2 points
Essay in volume with ISBN published by a qualified publisher	max 2 points
Review published in scientific journal provided with ISSN	max 1 point
Monographic volume with ISBN published by a qualified publisher	max 5 points

A maximum of 2 points will be assigned to the abstract, in Italian or in English, of the thesis.

#### 4. Graduation mark: max 10 points

Graduation mark	Points
110 cum laude	10
110	8
106-109	6
102-105	4
99-101	2
<99	0

Students who will complete their Master degree before the date set for the evaluation of qualifications are required to communicate the final degree marks to the President of the

Committee by e-mail. For students who will complete their Master degree after the date set for the evaluation of qualifications, but in any case before October 31, 2021, the above grid is replaced by the following:

Average	Points
29 -30	10
28 – 28.99	8
27 – 27.99	6
26 – 26.99	4
25 – 25.99	2
<25	0

#### **4. Curriculum vitae: max 12 points**

Further elements of the curriculum that are considered relevant to the proposed research project.

#### **5. Additional titles: max 8 punti**

It is possible to attach a file in the "Project development" field, in which the candidate can explain her/his motivation and how she / he intends to proceed in the implementation of the chosen project (innovation / green), in accordance with the project guidelines and the objectives indicated in the call. For example, she / he can provide information on the work schedule, method(s), sources and more. Alternatively, this information can be included in the text field on scientific interests and motivations (motivational letter).

### **Criteria for the evaluation of the oral exam (max 60 points)**

Candidates who have achieved at least 21/40 points in the evaluation of qualifications are admitted to the oral exam. The commission can assign a maximum of 60 points to the oral exam. The oral exam will consist of an accurate discussion of the work plan with respect to the chosen research project (innovation or green). During the interview the candidate will be able to explain how she / he intends to proceed with the implementation of the chosen project (innovation / green), in accordance with the project guidelines and objectives indicated in the call. In particular, the candidate can provide information on work schedule, method(s) adopted, sources used, expected results. The candidate may indicate any previous experiences considered useful for the development of the research project for which she / he is applying. The oral exam will also be aimed at ascertaining the knowledge useful for carrying out the project, plus a verification of the language skills considered indispensable for the purposes of the research project. The oral exam is passed if the candidate has obtained the minimum mark of 40/60.